## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

### **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): April 19, 2018
- B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:
- Blue Earth County SAP 007-601-017 CSAH 1, MVP-2015-02037-OMO

$\sim$		COLUMN	AND DAGECOD	OUND INFORMAT	
	PROTECT		AND RACKCER	CHIND INHORMA	III ( ) V·

State:Minnesota County/parish/borough: Blue Earth County City: Mankato Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 44.14713° N, Long. -94.02626° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: 15N 416178.34 E 4883727.22 N

Name of nearest waterbody: Le Sueur River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 07020011 Le Sueur Watershed (HUC8)

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

### D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: April 18, 2018

Field Determination. Date(s):

#### SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

### A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

#### B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1
  - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The general location of the aquatic resources is shown on the attached map labeled MVP-2015-02037-OMO Page 1 of 2. The review area for this determination contains two roadside ditches labeled as Ditch O and Ditch E on the enclosed figure labeled MVP-2015-02037-OMO Page 2 of 2. The linear ditches were constructed wholly in uplands, drains only uplands and has less than permanent flow. Per the Rapanos decision the drainage ditch is not a water of the U.S (WOUS).

# SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

F. NON-JURIS	SDICTIONAL	WATERS.	INCLUDING	WEILANDS	S (CHECK ALI	J THAT APPLY)
--------------	------------	---------	-----------	----------	--------------	---------------

$\boxtimes$	If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers
	Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
	Paying area included isolated waters with no substantial navys to interstate (or foreign) commerce

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) comme
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

		Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
		Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other (explain, if not covered above):
	facto	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
		vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such adding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.
		Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: 0.13 acres.
		ON IV: DATA SOURCES.
Α.		<b>PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply -</b> checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	$\boxtimes$	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: SRF 2017
	$\boxtimes$	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
		☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
	$\mathbb{H}$	Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
	_	USGS NHD data.
		USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	$\square$	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K Good Thunder USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
	$\boxtimes$	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS NWI and MnDNR NWI
		State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
	H	FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
		Photographs:  Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth 2011, 201
	_	or  Other (Name & Date):
		Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law:
	$\vdash$	
		Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
		Other information (please specify):


## B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:



**Project Area Map** 

Figure 2

Blue Earth County CSAH 1 / TH 66 Turnback SAP 007-601-017
Blue Earth County



Consulting Group, Inc.
Job #
8/15/2017

**Aquatic Resources Impact Map** 

CSAH 1 (TH 66 Trunback) Blue Earth County SAP 007-601-019 Figure 3